



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/815;377	03/21/2001	Robert David Freeman	4154-11-CIP	3940	
7590 07/09/2004			EXAMINER		
MACPHERSO	N KWOK CHEN &	WATKO, JU	WATKO, JULIE ANNE		
1726 TECHNOI SUITE 226	LOGY DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN JOSE, CA	95110		2652	22	
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
•				FREEMAN ET AL				
Office Action Summary		09/815, Examin		Art Unit	 			
	•		ne Watko	2652				
-	The MAILING DATE of this communic				Idress			
Period fo				•				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply verply received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of an incation.) days, a reply within the st utdory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply satutory minimum of thirty (30 will expire SIX (6) MONTHS pplication to become ABAND	be timely filed b) days will be considered time from the mailing date of this of				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>03 June 2004</u> .	ı					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-21 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	withdrawn from co						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 August 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/815,377 Page 2

Art Unit: 2652

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. It is noted by the Examiner that claim 1 is no longer generic. The limitation "a second portion pivotally mounted to said first portion and configured to position said first end along an arcuate path relative to the surface of the disk" renders claim 1 non-readable on Species C, for example. See especially Figs. 16-17 and page 24, lines 17-22 of the specification, which discloses a non-arcuate path.

- 2. It is noted by the Examiner that claim 2, now depending from amended claim 1, is not currently readable on elected Species A, drawn to Figs. 3-12, wherein said figures do not show at least three planar elements of a second portion pivotally mounted to said first portion and configured to position said first end along an arcuate path relative to the surface of the disk.

 Claim 2 and all claims depending therefrom are hereby withdrawn from consideration as drawn to non-elected species.
- 3. Claims 2-21 remain pending, but have been withdrawn from consideration, without traverse, as drawn to non-elected species. No claim is currently generic.

Drawings

4. New corrected drawings are required in this application because the proposed drawing correction filed on June 3, 2004, has been approved by the Examiner. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/815,377 Page 3

Art Unit: 2652

5. Applicant has provided postcard evidence that corrected formal drawings were filed by mail on January 28, 2004, in a paper that was not matched with the file record until resubmitted by Applicant via fax, without corrected formal drawings. The Examiner apologizes, and courteously requests that Applicant resubmit the corrected formal drawings in reply to this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boutaghou et al (US Pat. No. 5521778).

As recited in claim 1, Boutaghou et al show an optical disk drive comprising: a housing 10 including a base portion 32; and an actuator assembly (see Fig. 5) having a first end (right end in Fig. 5) and configured for use with an optical disk 12 ("optical disks", see col. 4, line 31) having information on at least one side, said actuator assembly including: a first portion 24 configured to position said first end of said actuator assembly parallel to the surface of the disk 12: and a second portion 25 pivotally mounted to said first portion and configured to position said first end along an arcuate path (see 26, 26', 26'', 26''' and 26'''') relative to the surface of the disk 12; and an optical pick up unit 26 disposed an said first end of said actuator assembly, said optical pick up unit (inherently) acting to focus a light beam on said optical disk.

Application/Control Number: 09/815,377 Page 4

Art Unit: 2652

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stole et al (US Pat. No. 6091578) in view of Boutaghou et al (US Pat. No. 5521778).

As recited in claim 1, Stole et al show an optical disk drive comprising: a housing including a base portion 122; and an actuator assembly 132 haring a first end 18 and configured for use with an disk 18 having information on at least one side, said actuator assembly including: a first portion 134 configured to position said first end of said actuator assembly parallel to the surface of the disk: and a pick up unit 136 disposed an said first end of said actuator assembly.

As recited in claim 1, Stole et al are silent regarding the disk and pick up unit being an optical disk and an optical pick up unit, and a second portion pivotally mounted to said first

Application/Control Number: 09/815,377

Art Unit: 2652

portion and configured to position said first end along an arcuate path relative to the surface of the disk; said pick up unit acting to focus a light beam on said optical disk.

As recited in claim 1, Boutaghou et al show a disk and pick up unit being an optical disk 12 ("optical disks", see col. 4, line 31) and an optical pick up unit 26, and a second portion 25 pivotally mounted to a first portion 24 and configured to position said first end along an arcuate path relative to the surface of the disk; said pick up unit (inherently) acting to focus a light beam on said optical disk.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the actuator assembly of Stole et al in an optical drive with an optical pickup and optical disk as taught by Boutaghou et al. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the actuator assembly of Stole et al in an optical drive with an optical pickup and optical disk in order to increase a durability of data storage as is notoriously well known in the art.

It would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the second portion of Boutaghou et al to the actuator assembly of Stole et al as taught by Boutaghou et al. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to add the second portion to the actuator assembly in order to improve recording head resolution, and to reduce costs by using a coarser primary actuator as taught by Boutaghou et al (see col. 2, lines 54-63, "improve recording head resolution ... position the recording head at intermediate positions between primary head positions as defined by the actuator arm resolution"; see also col. 4, lines 40-45, "permits the use of less expensive

Art Unit: 2652

coarser actuators which then may be implemented as a cost-saving technique while DASD still may attain comparable enhanced recording track densities").

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 09/815,377

Art Unit: 2652

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie Anne Watko whose telephone number is (703) 305-7742. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9AM-5PM, Friday 9AM-7:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Julie Anne Watko Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

June 28, 2004 JAW